| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/603,320  | LOONEY ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | Eric E. Silverman, PhD  | 1615   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:  | _  |
| (1) <u>Eric E. Silverman, PhD</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Blossom Loo</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 18 August 2006   | Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  | nt's representative)  |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: as of record  |   |  |
| Claims discussed: as of record  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: as of record   |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicant confirmed that the application is abandoned in favor of   |   | S DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/  | Applicant's Representative Si                                   | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |